ı		***************************************	
	L		

SEP 16 2022 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result in dismissal of this action.) IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT BY A PRISONER ☐Original Complaint Defendant(s). ☐First Amended Complaint Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them Second Amended Complaint A. JURISDICTION This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to: ☑ 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1983 28 U.S.C. § 1331; Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). \square Other: Institution/city where violation occurred: North Kenn State Prison, Delano, California.

(5) John Doe R.N. Sued in Individual Capacity

B. DEFENDANTS

1.	Name of first Defendant: S. Ramos Correctional Officer	. The first Defendant is employed as at North Kern State Prison
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
2.	Name of second Defendant: Goking Correctional Officer (Position and Title)	at North Kern State Prison (Institution)
3.	Name of third Defendant: 0. Ujeda Sergean+ (Position and Title)	. The third Defendant is employed as at North Kenn State Prison (Institution)
4.	T1 D 12	at North Kenn State Prison (Institution)
lf y	ou name more than four Defendants, answer the questions listed	above for each additional Defendant on a separate page.
	C. PREVIOUS	LAWSUITS
1.	Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a p	risoner?
2.	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? D	escribe the previous lawsuits:
	 a. First prior lawsuit: 1. Parties:	vppealed? Is it still pending?)
	 b. Second prior lawsuit: 1. Parties: 2. Court and case number: 3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it approximately approximately	
	c. Third prior lawsuit:	
	 Parties: Court and case number: Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it approximately app	ppealed? Is it still pending?)
		•

Case 1:22-cv-01508-JLT-SAB & Doctment 1 5 Filed 09/16/22 Page 4 of 15

Name Of fifth Defendant: John Doe R.N.'S. The fifth Defendant is employed as: Registered Nurse at North Kern State Prison

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

	CLAIM	was violated: Plaintiff Was Subjected To
Crue	State the constitutional or other federal civil right that ie and Unusual Punishment In Violation of The E	ighth Amendment To The Constitution.
2.	Claim I. Identify the issue involved. Check only one Basic necessities Mail Disciplinary proceedings Excessive force by an officer Threat to safety	☐ Access to the court ☐ Medical care ☐ Exercise of religion ☐ Retaliation
iThe pri- len	Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FA fendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the plaintiff GENE RAYMOND MATTISCH officials at NORTH KERN STATEMPLOYEES were in collusion against a grievance form.	the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal THEWS III made the CDCR E PRISON aware that CDCR
2.A.	to the claim in paragraph 1, the Emergency 602 and did nothing.	N.K.S.P prison officials Knew of
dire	November 19,2021 on North Kern State ectly after a 602 interview for amos and G.King incited violence.	e Prison Facility "B" Building "3" that very 602 the Defendants
on	he Defendant G.King who was wor November 19,2021, at the behest ened the plaintiff's cell door cell 13 only inmate housed in cell 131L en	of the Defendant S. Ramos BIL. Knowing the plaintiff to be
A bus	Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or in usted open upper lip, left swollen eye, right swolle jubrasions to wrist, loose left upper teeth, differ work time credit, mental and emotiona	in eye, night knee abrasions, left shin abra- leed cut to the night eyebrow loss of
	Administrative Remedies: a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance prinstitution?	rocedures or administrative appeals) available at your Yes No
b	b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief of	
_	c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I tod. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administ did not.	strative relief at any level, briefly explain why you
		,

Defendants S. Ramos and G. King violated the plaintiff's
right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment, By
colluding against the plaintiff with malicious and sadistic
ntent inciting and opening his cell door allowing three
ntent, inciting, and opening his cell door, allowing three inmates to attack the plaintiff intentionally to cause
harm.
Defendants S. Ramos and G. King violated the plain- tiffs right to be free from cruel and unusual
tiff's right to be free from cruel and unusual
punishment by watching three inmate pumme!
punishment by watching three inmate pumme! the disabled plaintiff and not intervening.
Plaintiff Was Denied Due Process Under The Fourteenth Amendment To The Constitution
tourteenth Amendment to The Constitution
Defendants S. Ramos and G. King violated the plain-
tiff's tourteenth Amendment right to due process
by denying him the Equal Protection of the law
as a ADA. Plaintiff is a American With A Dis-
ability
3-∆

Case 1:22-cv-01508-JLT-SAB Document 1 Filed 09/16/22 Page 7 of 15

1	enter the plaintiff's cell and attack him.
2	
3	5. The Defendants S. Ramos and G. King watched the
4	attack take place and did not intervene.
5	
6	6. Once the attack subsided Correctional Officers Kicked
7	the plaintiff in the head and punched him in the face
8	as the plaintiff was proned out on his stomach bleed-
9	ing profusely.
10	
11	7. The plaintiff is a American With A Disability who
12	uses a walker to ambulate.
13	
14	8. As to the claim in paragraph 7, the Defendants
15	Knew the plaintiff was ADA.
16	
17	9. The Defendants S. Ramos and G. King lacked the
18	penological and/or security justification to treat
19	the plaintiff in the manner as described above.
20	•
21	10. The Defendant S. Ramos charged the plaintiff with a
22	false RVR.
23	
24	11. The Defendants S. Ramos and G. King acted wantonly,
25	maliciously, and willfully.
26	
27	
28	7-9
	II 3-B

	CLAIM II
1. s Cruel	tate the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: Plaintiff Was Subject to and Unusual Punishment In Violation of The Eighth Amendment To The Constitution.
	Claim II. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate claims. Basic necessities
Defendauthori 1.0n I facili appro	upporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim II. Describe exactly what each dant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal ity or arguments. November 19,2021 in a holding cell on NORTH KERN STATE PRISON 144 "B" patio the plaintiff GENE RAYMOND MATTHEWS TIL was backed by Sengeant O. Ujeda and approximately 1-3 John Doe C.O.?S
had S.Rar	e plaintiff began elaborating on the subject of the 602 the plaintiff filed and the fact that the correctional Officers G.King and mos had just set the plaintiff up to be attacked and that act not legal and violated his constitutional rights.
3.As	to the claim in paragraph 2, sergeant O. Ujeda ignored the plaintiff.
olaint	provoked suddenly the Defendant Sergeant O. Ujeda sprayed the iff with O.C. peopler spray directly in the facial drea of plaintiffs wounded bloody face, impairing his vision.
imms	plaintiff was swooped upon, handcuffed roughly, so tight he ediately felt the handcuffs were restricting circulation to hands, he was drug over to an adjacent holding cell on the patio.
Ahras	jury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). sions to the face and forehead, loss of dreadlocked hair, choking and loss of oxygen, mental and emotional distress, loss of time work time credit.
•	ministrative Remedies. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? Yes No
b.	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim II?
c. d.	Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim II to the highest level? Yes No If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.

Case 1:22-cv-01508-JLT-SAB Document Filed 09/16/22 Page 9 of 15

1	Defendant Sergeant O. Ujeda violated the plaintiff's
2	Eighth Amendment right to be free from cruel and
3	Unusual punishment by spraying the plaintiff with O.C.
4	pepper spray for no reason.
5	
6	The Defendants Sergeant O. Ujeda and John Doe
7	C.O.'S 1-3 violated the plaintiff's Eighth Amendment
8	by holding the plaintiff forcefully under a stream of
9	water until the point of choking and loss of oxygen
10	and dreadlocked hair.
11	
12	
13	Plaintiff Was Denied Due Process Under The fourteenth
14	Amendment To The Constitution.
15	
16	The Defendants Sergeant O. Ujeda and John Doe C.O.S
17	1-3 violated the plaintiff's fourteenth Amendment
18	right to Due Process by depriving the plaintiff of
19	an opportunity to aggrieve the conditions of his
20	confinement.
21	TO DO LO
22	The Detendants Sengeant U. Ujeda and John Doe C.U.S
23	1-3 violated the plaintiff's tourteenth Amendment
24	right to Due Process by writing a talse KVR.
25	
26	
27	
28	$A \Delta$

1	6. The Defendants Sergeant O. Ujeda and John Doe
2	C.O.35 1-3 grabbed the plaintiff by the hair and
3	neck forcefully holding the plaintiff under a down-
4	pour of water, slammed his face against the
5	holding cell gate, until the point of choking,
6	drowning, abrasion to the forehead, loss of oxygen
7	and hair while cuffed behind his back.
8	
9	7. The Defendants Sengeant O. Ujeda and John Doe
10	C.O.'S 1-3 cursed, faunting the plaintiff with threats
11	nacial epithets and other unprofessional remarks.
12	
13	8. Finally, the plaintiff was taken to the Administrative
14	Segregation Unit. The Defendant Sergeant O. Ujeda
15	charged the plaintiff with a false RVR.
16	
17	9. The Defendants Sergeant Olljeda and John Doe
18	C.O.'S 1-3 lacked the penological and/or security
19	justification to treat the plaintiff in the manner
20	as described above.
21	
22	10. The Defendants Sengeant O. Ujeda and John Doe
23	C.O.'S 1-3 acted wantonly, maliciously and
24	willfully-
25	
26	
27	
28	4 B
	respective to the contract of

4 B

Case 1:22-cv-01508-JLT-SAB Document 1 Filed 09/16/22 Page 12 of 15

process by re	tusing pro	per medical	treatment
		1	
	**	1	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		· ·	
			
	·		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•		
	:		
	;		
	:		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	:		
			•

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully brays that	this court: A. Declare that the act
and omissions described herein violated Pla	intiffs rights under the constitution
and laws of the United States B. Order H	
damages of 571,897.00 funitive Damages of 100 to stoo the malicious and repressive	48,098.00.C. Grant a preliminary injunction as
institutional racism solicitation of the claim	liffs murder, and false documentation
P. Grant other just and equitable relief that	this Honorable Court deems necessary.
O (9
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true	and correct.
	4 211.
Executed on $\frac{9/10/22}{2}$	Jeven-Matthews III
·DAFE	SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF
(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or	
other person who helped prepare this complaint)	
(Signature of attorney, if any)	
(A)	•
(Attorney's address & telephone number)	

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space you may attach more pages, but you are strongly encouraged to limit your complaint to twenty-five pages. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. Remember, there is no need to attach exhibits to your complaint.

1		
	Note: Please read.	
	The Plaintiff GENE RAYMOND	
	MATTHEWS III filled out	de side and proceedings on the 1 MM for street where the 100 interpretation about
·	this Civil Rights Complaint while	
	he was housed in CSP-SAC. The	
	plaintiff was transferred. His	
	new address is as follows:	
	Gene R. Matthews #BP7876"	
	Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility	
	480 Alta Road	
ç	San Diego Ca 92179	
		UVER-

	The Plaintiff was deliberately hindered	
entere blankker blår eklikere. Påke som e sperimen som kritiser sperimen fra 4 det	in the assistance grea of getting	
	things handled accordingly. The plaintiff	riane. W
	had to send out this USC 1983 on.	
	9-10-22 at RJD. But it was completed	- 4
	at CSP-SAC.	
DOMESTICATE THE STORE SELECTION OF THE S		****